

Parametric Generation and Computational Analysis of a REST Inlet

Original

Parametric Generation and Computational Analysis of a REST Inlet / Xiong, Bing; Ferlauto, Michele; Fan, Xiaoqiang. - ELETTRONICO. - (2019), pp. 171-172. (Intervento presentato al convegno ECCOMAS YIC 2019 conference tenutosi a Krakov nel 1-6 September).

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2751635 since: 2019-09-14T20:58:02Z

Publisher:

AKNET-Press, ECCOMAS

Published

DOI:

Terms of use:

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Parametric Generation and Computational Analysis of a REST Inlet

Bing Xiong¹, Michele Ferlauto², Xiaoqiang Fan¹

¹ National University of Defense Technology,
Deya Road 109, Hunan Chang, PRC

² DIMEAS, Politecnico di Torino,
Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 24, Turin, Italy
xiongbing10@nudt.edu.cn, xiaoqiangfan@hotmail.com,
michele.ferlauto@polito.it

Considering practical applications of a scramjet module, the inlet of a scramjet is usually required to form a rectangular-like entrance and an elliptical throat for the combination with an elliptical combustor. As a result, this paper focus on the design of inward turning inlets with rectangular-to-elliptical shape transition (REST).

Parameterization is the foundation of further optimizations. A REST inlet is generated firstly using parametric methodologies. Figure 1 presents the parametric generation of a basic field through which a REST inlet will be traced. Each compression wall is represented with a 3 order quasi-uniform B-spline curve (QUBS) [2] which has 4 control points.




Figure 1. Parameterization of an axisymmetric basic flow field geometry.

With a basic flow field, a REST inlet is able to be generated according to steps demonstrated in Ref. [2]. Figure 2 shows the inviscid REST inlet, and design conditions are $Ma = 6.0$, $p = 2549.2$ Pa, $T = 221.6$ K. Inviscid computations were made firstly to confirm performances at the design point. Figure 3 shows the inviscid Mach contours of the REST inlet, the left one is the Mach contours at some selected cross sections, and the right one is at the symmetric plane.




Figure 2. View of the Mach 6.0 REST inlet.




Figure 3. Inviscid Mach contours for the REST inlet.
a) some selected cross sections, b) symmetric plane

As presented in Figure 3, the leading shock incidents at the cowl point on the symmetric plane, and the conical shock fits perfectly with the inlet leading edge. It implies that the inviscid REST inlet has a full mass capture at the design point. Before making a viscous correction for the REST inlet, viscous computations were made for different incoming Mach numbers, and results were present in Figure 4. At Mach 6.0, the REST inlet does not capture the full mass any more, its mass capture coefficient falls down to 97.2%, which is due to the boundary layer. As illustrated in Figure 4, the viscous REST inlet has more spill when Mach number decreases.




Figure 4. Flow fields of the viscous REST inlet under different Mach number conditions.

In order to obtain an actual inlet that contains a similar core region to the inviscid inlet shape. The next stage of the design procedure is the viscous correction of the inviscid inlet shape.

References

1. He X.M., Shi P.D.: *Convergence rate of B-Spline estimators of nonparametric conditional quintile functions*. Journal of Nonparametric Statistics, 1994, 3, 299–308.
2. Smart M.K.: *Design of Three-Dimensional Hypersonic Inlets with Rectangular-to-Elliptical Shape Transition*. Journal of Propulsion and Power, 1999, 15(3), 408–416.